

Exhibit 9

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Case Number 07-5944 (SC)

7 In Re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
8 ANTITRUST LITIGATION
9 This Document Relates to
10 ALL ACTIONS

13 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF STEVEN DEASON
14 Richmond, Virginia
15 Wednesday, April 23, 2014
16 SSS 10:58 a.m.

18 | * * * * *

20
21
22
23
24
25

1	Q	You said Circuit City might have known	12:48:36
2		at some point in time where a CRT within a CRT	12:48:38
3		finished product had been manufactured. Is that	12:48:41
4		something that anyone with the company would still	12:48:43
5		know?	12:48:46
6		MR. LAHAD: Are you talking about the	12:48:46
7		Trust?	12:48:48
8		MS. LIN: Yes.	12:48:48
9		THE WITNESS: Again, it would have to be	12:48:49
10		a one on one type of experience where a buyer	12:48:55
11		who went over to see LG and Samsung which we	12:48:58
12		did a couple of times a year go over and see	12:49:05
13		the product, if they happened to see a	12:49:08
14		manufacturing facility, then they would do	12:49:12
15		it, but it wouldn't have been, again, there	12:49:14
16		was no need for us to know where the product,	12:49:19
17		where the CRT was manufactured.	12:49:23
18		BY MS. LIN:	12:49:25
19	Q	Was the identity of the entity making	12:49:28
20		the CRT ever considered by Circuit City as part of	12:49:32
21		the finished product purchasing decisions?	12:49:36
22	A	I wouldn't think so.	12:49:39
23	Q	Do you know for the CRT finished	12:49:40
24		products that Circuit City purchased what	12:49:48
25		percentage of the purchase price was attributable	12:49:50

1	to the cost of that CRT?	12:49:54
2	A No.	12:49:55
3	Q Would there be a way for Circuit City to	12:49:56
4	determine that information?	12:49:59
5	A No.	12:50:00
6	MR. GRALEWSKI: Objection, form.	12:50:02
7	BY MS. LIN:	12:50:03
8	Q You testified before lunch that	12:50:09
9	typically in a cost negotiation with a vendor	12:50:11
10	regarding a CRT finished product that typically a	12:50:16
11	vendor would be the first to throw out a cost	12:50:18
12	term, do you recall that testimony?	12:50:22
13	A Yes.	12:50:23
14	Q Was Circuit City typically able to	12:50:24
15	negotiate more favorable cost terms than those	12:50:26
16	starting cost terms provided by a CRT finished	12:50:29
17	product vendor?	12:50:31
18	MR. LAHAD: Vague.	12:50:34
19	MR. GRALEWSKI: Objection, form.	12:50:36
20	THE WITNESS: Reask the question,	12:50:38
21	please.	12:50:42
22	BY MS. LIN:	12:50:49
23	Q Was Circuit City ever able to negotiate	12:50:49
24	more favorable cost terms than the starting cost	12:50:52
25	terms provided by a CRT finished product vendor?	12:50:55